

127 Schoolhouse Drive Hemingway, SC 29554

Grades PK-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 324 Students

PrincipalWilliam Teddy Graham843-558-9417SuperintendentDr. H. Randall Dozier843-436-7000Board ChairMr. Jim Dumm843-436-7000

2013 REPORT CARD

| RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| YEAR ABSOLUTE RATING | | GROWTH RATING | | | | |
| 2013 | Good | Below Average | | | | |
| 2012 | Good | Average | | | | |
| 2011 | Average | Average | | | | |
| 2010 | Average | Average | | | | |

Average

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Average

2009

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located

99%

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Λ D | \circ | | IENTARY SCHOOL: | с мити стиремт | C LIVE ALIDO |
| LAB | \sim | 71.2 OF FIEW | | | |

| ABOOLOTE TO THINGS OF ELEMENTARY CONSIDERS WITH STODE WITH STODE CONS | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|---------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Excellent | Good | Average | Below Average | At-Risk | | | |
| 14 | 27 | 88 | 6 | 1 | | | |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

| Definition of Critical Terms | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Exemplary | "Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard. | | |
| Met "Met" means the student met the grade level standard. | | | |
| Not Met "Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard. | | | |

School Profile

| College P To like | Our School | Change from Last Year | Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Elementary School |
|--|------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Students (n=324) | | | | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 100.0% | No Change | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Retention rate | 1.0% | Down from 2.9% | 1.1% | 0.9% |
| Attendance rate | 95.8% | Down from 96.1% | 96.0% | 96.3% |
| Served by gifted and talented program | 9.2% | N/A | 5.9% | 7.2% |
| With disabilities | 8.9% | N/A | 14.0% | 12.4% |
| Older than usual for grade | 2.0% | N/A | 2.4% | 1.9% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.0% | No Change | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n=28) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 71.4% | Up from 61.5% | 61.1% | 62.5% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 89.3% | Up from 84.6% | 83.8% | 83.3% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 90.9% | Up from 88.7% | 88.5% | 88.3% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 95.4% | Up from 94.8% | 94.7% | 95.0% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$51,474 | Up 4.9% | \$47,852 | \$48,193 |
| Professional development days/teacher | 16.0 days | Up from 13.2 days | 11.4 days | 11.0 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 8.0 | Up from 7.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 15.9 to 1 | Up from 14.7 to 1 | 19.9 to 1 | 20.1 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 89.9% | Down from 90.6% | 89.6% | 90.0% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No Change | Good | Good |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No Change | Yes | Yes |
| Parents attending conferences | 99.8% | Down from 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Character development program | Good | Down from Excellent | Excellent | Excellent |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$9,878 | Up 1.0% | \$7,378 | \$7,364 |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 57.0% | Down from 57.3% | 68.0% | 68.0% |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 56.0% | Up from 54.2% | 65.0% | 66.0% |

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council...

Pleasant Hill Elementary School provides a quality educational environment to develop competent, confident, and responsible students. Our staff has worked cooperatively with students, parents, and community members to offer a safe clean campus, modern facilities, a challenging curriculum, quality instruction, current technologies, and effective communications. Consequently, our students experience great academic and personal growth, and our school remains a source of pride for the entire community.

Academic achievement remains a top priority. We are diligent to ensure that students are taught all South Carolina learning standards. The balanced literacy concept guides instruction in the area of reading and language arts, encompassing shared reading, guided reading, independent reading, teacher read alouds, and writing. The Everyday Math program connects the real world to mathematical concepts and skills, incorporating games and other interactive activities. Teachers incorporate hands-on learning activities across all content areas to establish real life connections to learning. We host a science fair and provide science kits to immerse students in scientific inquiry and investigation. A wide variety of technology based resources supplement instruction in social studies. Our students and staff participate in a district technology fair. Monthly constructed response writings and daily non-fiction writing in all contents allow our students to develop written expression.

Much emphasis is placed on meeting individual student needs. Running records and MAP (Measures of Academic Progress) assessments allow teachers to identify and target instructional needs. Administrators and teachers work collaboratively to review student data during quarterly Data Review Days. Students requiring additional academic assistance in grades K-5 receive classroom interventions and/or tutoring services from highly qualified teachers.

We utilize technology in all classrooms by providing interactive white boards, portable computer carts, and two computer labs. Teachers participate in technology training during planning and after school hours. Training includes Promethean applications, web-site construction, and web-based instructional tools. Because students must be equipped to function in the twenty-first century, we envision an expanding roll in the use of instructional technologies.

Many of our students have talents in the areas of art, music, and physical education. We encourage students' best effort in every endeavor. Enrichment classes are provided to students in grades K-5 to assist in developing these talents. We have increased the amount of time spent in physical education, art, and music. Guidance and media classes are also provided to students

We will continue our mission, providing educational programs that require students to meet high academic standards and preparing students to be responsible citizens and lifelong learners.

W. Teddy Graham, Principal Sonya Marsh, SIC Chairperson

| Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 23 | 52 | 50 | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 100% | 100% | 94% | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 100% | 96.2% | 92% | | |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 95.7% | 92.3% | 88% | | |

Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

| (| Overall Weighted Points Total | 95.9 |
|---|-------------------------------|------|
| (| Overall Grade Conversion | Α |

| Index Score | Grade | Description |
|--------------|-------|---|
| 90-100 | Α | Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations. |
| 80-89.9 | В | Performance exceeds the state's expectations. |
| 70-79.9 | С | Performance meets the state's expectations. |
| 60-69.9 | D | Performance does not meet the state's expectations. |
| Less than 60 | F | Performance is substantially below the state's expectations |

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

| Pleasant Hill Flement | ary School echo | nol has hoon | decianated as a: |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|

| / | Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year. |
|----------|---|
| | Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups. |
| | Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups |
| | Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools. |
| | Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School. |
| | Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable. |

| Teacher Quality and Student Attendance | | |
|---|--------------|-------|
| | Our District | State |
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 3.6% | 2.3% |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 1.5% | 4.9% |

| | Our School | State Objective | Met State Objective |
|---|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.0% | 0.0% | Yes |
| Student attendance rate | 95.8% | 94.0%* | Yes |

^{*} Or greater than last year

| Discount Lill Flomentary Cohool | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Pleasant Hill Elementary School 12/14/13-220101 | | | | | | |
| Performance By Group | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Mean | Math Mean | Science Mean | Social Studies Mean | ELA % Tested | Math % Tested |
| Grades 3-5 | | | | | | |
| All Students | 664.1 | 668.5 | 618.7 | 637.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Male | 661.5 | 669.1 | 623.5 | 637.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 666.3 | 668.0 | 615.1 | 636.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| White | 683.1 | 687.1 | 635.1 | 648.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| African American | 645.3 | 650.2 | 602.3 | 626.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Subsidized meals | 655.1 | 661.4 | 613.0 | 630.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | 635.0 | 635.0 | 635.0 | 635.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |

| 1 10000 | | icitially correc | 'I | | | 12/17 | 710 2201012 |
|---------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------|-------------|-----------------------|
| PASS | Performance | e By Grade L | evel | | | | |
| | Grade | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Not Met | % Met | % Exemplary | % Met or Exemplary |
| | | | Englisl | h/Language A | irts | | |
| | 3 | 52 | 100 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 68.6 | 84.3 |
| O. | 4 | 57 | 100 | 13.5 | 53.8 | 32.7 | 86.5 |
| 7 | | 53 | 100 | 20 | 51.1 | 28.9 | 80 |
| 2012 | 5 6 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 44 | 100 | 9.3 | 30.2 | 60.5 | 90.7 |
| က | 4 | 48 | 100 | 22.9 | 35.4 | 41.7 | 77.1 |
| Ž. | | 52 | 100 | 6 | 68 | 26 | 94 |
| 2013 | 5 6 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | M | lathematics | • | • | |
| | 3 | 52 | 100 | 17.6 | 21.6 | 60.8 | 82.4 |
| 2 | 4 | 57 | 100 | 11.5 | 30.8 | 57.7 | 88.5 |
| 1 | 5 | 53 | 100 | 20 | 57.8 | 22.2 | 80 |
| 2012 | 5 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A 14 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 44 | 100 | 14 | 39.5 | 46.5 | 86 |
| က | 4 | 48 | 100 | 6.3 | 41.7 | 52.1 | 93.8 |
| 2013 | 5 | 52 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 40 | 90 |
| 7(| 6 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | Science | | | |
| | 3 | 26 | 100 | 34.6 | 30.8 | 34.6 | 65.4 |
| 2 | 4 | 57 | 100 | 21.2 | 71.2 | 7.7 | 78.8 |
| Ξ | 5 6 | 27 | 100 | 39.1 | 47.8 | 13 | 60.9 |
| 2012 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 3 | 21 | 100 | 23.8 | 61.9 | 14.3 | 76.2 |
| 2013 | 4 | 48 | 100 | 29.2 | 56.3 | 14.6 | 70.8 |
| 9 | 5 6 | 26 | 100 | 20 | 64 | 16 | 80 |
| 7 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| riedsant riiii Liementary School | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| PASS | PASS Performance By Grade Level | | | | | | | |
| | Grade | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Not Met | % Met | % Exemplary | % Met or Exemplary | |
| Social Studies | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | 26 | 100 | 28 | 44 | 28 | 72 | |
| 2 | 4 | 57 | 100 | 17.3 | 69.2 | 13.5 | 82.7 | |
| 7 | 5 | 26 | 100 | 22.7 | 54.5 | 22.7 | 77.3 | |
| 2012 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 3 | 23 | 95.7 | 9.1 | 50 | 40.9 | 90.9 | |
| 33 | 4 | 48 | 100 | 20.8 | 56.3 | 22.9 | 79.2 | |
| 2013 | 5 | 26 | 100 | 32 | 44 | 24 | 68 | |
| 5 (| 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Writing | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| 2 | 4 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| 2012 | 5 | 53 | 96.2 | 39.5 | 46.5 | 14 | 60.5 | |
| 2(| 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 3 | 44 | 100 | 20.9 | 32.6 | 46.5 | 79.1 | |
| 3 | 4 | 48 | 100 | 18.8 | 54.2 | 27.1 | 81.3 | |
| 2013 | 5 | 53 | 100 | 17.6 | 54.9 | 27.5 | 82.4 | |
| 2 | 6 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 7 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | 8 | N/A | N/AV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |